Overview and Feedback from the 17th Data Managers’ Annual Meeting

18-21 March 2018
Lisbon, Portugal
Over 100 Data Managers attended the Data Management Group meeting in Lisbon, our annual event at the EBMT Congress packed with science and data.

The focus of this year’s Data Management Group meeting was on the Registry Upgrade Project. We wanted to show everyone what we had been working on and give everyone an opportunity to see and “feel” the new Registry system, MACRO, for themselves.

Oli Cram (MACRO General Manager) and Ronald Schravendeel (MACRO Implementation & Training Manager) attended from Elsevier to introduce the software to the audience and demonstrate its capabilities. They also gave an overview of the history and the future of the software. It was a very engaging presentation and one that was most attended not only by Data Managers but Physicians and other interested parties.

In addition to the MACRO demo, we also offered two practical sessions on MACRO, where we showed a taster of data entry functions using disease specific Med-A forms. (The software is still being customised for EBMT and user training will be available at a later stage). Both of the sessions were fully booked and we received a very positive feedback.

We also had a pleasure to host yet another Med-A Discussion Forum. Sebastian Giebel, Lidia Gil, Johannes Schetelig and Paolo Pedrazzoli volunteered to be in the Panel and Helen Baldomero facilitated the session. The session was very well received, not only by the data managers in the audience, but also by the expert clinicians themselves.

There were also educational sessions exploring the topics of Lymphoma - MED-A, new drugs and treatments by Silvia Montoto, Chimerism by Arjan Lankester, Gene Therapy by Aiuti Alessandro, Live Survey, interactive session on data collection issues by Beate Lindner, History of CML treatment by Eduardo Olavarría, Infections by Lidia Gil, Relevance of haematological values and sensitivity levels by Isabel Duggins, Allograft with the focus on Med-B form by Jacob Passweg, Cell Therapy with the focus on Cell Therapy form by Chiara Secco and Benchmarking of transplant outcomes by Hein Putter.

Apart from our regular ProMISE training sessions, we also offered a session on Using Reports to clean data in ProMISE. The session turned out to be very popular since many centres will have to use reports in ProMISE before the data migration to MACRO takes place.
The meeting content was appropriate
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FEEDBACK FOR EBMT REGISTRY DATABASE TRAINING AND EDUCATION SESSIONS

[based on number of feedback forms completed]
DATA ENTRY IN PROMISE by Asterios Kasmiris and Shelley Hewerdine

Number attended: 26
Number of feedback forms completed: 19

Data Entry in ProMISe

- Strongly Disagree
- Disagree
- Neither/Nor
- Agree
- Strongly Agree
- Not Applicable (N/A)

- Session was well organised
- Session was clear and easy to understand
- Session topics were interesting
- Help from Registry staff was satisfactory
- I was satisfied with documentation provided
- Overall how would you rate the presentation

Percentage

- 11
- 32
- 58
- 47
- 42
- 32
- 47
- 42
- 58
- 63

- 0
- 0
- 0
Using Reports to clean Data in ProMISe by Carmen Ruiz de Elvira

Number attended: 35
Number of feedback forms completed: 29

Using Reports to clean Data in ProMISe

- Strongly Disagree
- Disagree
- Neither/Nor
- Agree
- Strongly Agree
- Not Applicable (N/A)

Session was well organised
Session was clear and easy to understand
Session topics were interesting
Help from Registry staff was satisfactory
I was satisfied with documentation provided
Overall how would you rate the presentation

Percentage

- Session was well organised: 14, 24, 17, 17, 10, 17
- Session was clear and easy to understand: 59, 52, 17, 17, 24, 24
- Session topics were interesting: 66, 66, 17, 17, 24, 41
- Help from Registry staff was satisfactory: 24, 24, 17, 17
- I was satisfied with documentation provided: 24, 48
- Overall how would you rate the presentation: 24, 48
Using Reports to clean Data in ProMISE

![Bar Chart]

- Too short: 29%
- Just Right: 71%
- Too long: 0%
- Too Basic: 0%
- Just Right: 100%
- Too Advanced: 15%
HLA Data Entry in ProMISe by Asterios Kasmiris and Lucas Stolarczyk

Number attended: 17
Number of feedback forms completed: 15

**HLA Data Entry in ProMISe**

- **Session was well organised**: 73% strongly agree, 27% disagree.
- **Session was clear and easy to understand**: 67% agree, 20% neither/nor, 13% disagree.
- **Session topics were interesting**: 80% agree, 20% neither/nor.
- **Help from Registry staff was satisfactory**: 80% agree, 20% neither/nor.
- **I was satisfied with documentation provided**: 73% agree, 13% neither/nor, 7% disagree.
- **Overall how would you rate the presentation**: 67% agree, 27% neither/nor, 7% disagree.
HLA Data Entry in ProMISe

Percentage

- Too short: 20
- Just Right: 80
- Too long: 0
- Too Basic: 0
- Just Right: 7
- Too Advanced: 1

European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation
MACRO, Practical Session by Carmen Ruiz de Elvira

Number attended: 71
Number of feedback forms completed: 42

MACRO, Practical Session

- Session was well organised: 50% Strongly Agree, 45% Agree
- Session was clear and easy to understand: 57% Agree, 38% Neither/Nor
- Session topics were interesting: 64% Strongly Agree, 29% Agree
- Help from Registry staff was satisfactory: 71% Strongly Agree, 21% Agree
- I was satisfied with documentation provided: 36% Strongly Agree, 40% Agree
- Overall how would you rate the presentation: 50% Strongly Agree, 45% Agree
MACRO, Practical Session

- Too short: 10
- Just Right: 88
- Too long: 2
- Too Basic: 7
- Just Right: 90
- Too Advanced: 2
MACRO Demo by Oli Cram and Ronald Schravendeel

Number attended: 112
Number of feedback forms completed: 56

MACRO Demo

Too Basic 6%  Just Right 11%  Too Advanced 83%
Presentation was well organised
Subject was relevant to my role
The subject material was well presented
Participants' questions were answered satisfactorily
Overall how would you rate the presentation

Percentage

- Strongly Disagree
- Disagree
- Neither/Nor
- Agree
- Strongly Agree
- Not Applicable (N/A)
Lymphoma - Med-A, new drugs and treatments

- 78% Just Right
- 22% Too Basic

Number attended: 34
Number of feedback forms completed: 21
Lymphoma - Med-A, new drugs and treatments

- Presentation was well organised: 62% Agree, 38% Neither/Nor, 0% Disagree, 0% Strongly Disagree
- Subject was relevant to my role: 57% Agree, 24% Neither/Nor, 0% Disagree, 0% Strongly Disagree
- The subject material was well presented: 62% Agree, 38% Neither/Nor, 0% Disagree, 0% Strongly Disagree
- Participants' questions were answered satisfactorily: 57% Agree, 24% Neither/Nor, 0% Disagree, 0% Strongly Disagree
- Overall how would you rate the presentation: 43% Agree, 14% Neither/Nor, 0% Disagree, 0% Strongly Disagree
Chimerism by Arjan Lankester

Number attended: 63
Number of feedback forms completed: 21

Chimerism

- Too Basic: 0%
- Just Right: 95.5%
- Too Advanced: 5.5%
Presentation was well organised
Subject was relevant to my role
The subject material was well presented
Participants’ questions were answered satisfactorily
Overall how would you rate the presentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Neither/Nor</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Not Applicable (N/A)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Presentation was well organised</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject was relevant to my role</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The subject material was well presented</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants’ questions were answered satisfactorily</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall how would you rate the presentation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chimerism

European Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation
Gene Therapy

- Too Basic: 0%
- Just Right: 62%
- Too Advanced: 38%

Number attended: 58
Number of feedback forms completed: 30
Presentation was well organised

Subject was relevant to my role

The subject material was well presented

Participants' questions were answered satisfactorily

Overall how would you rate the presentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene Therapy</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Neither/Nor</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Not Applicable (N/A)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Presentation was well organised</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject was relevant to my role</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The subject material was well presented</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants' questions were answered satisfactorily</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall how would you rate the presentation</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Med-A Discussion by Sebastian Giebel and Johannes Schetelig and Paolo Pedrazzoli, Lidia Gil

Number attended: 47
Number of feedback forms completed: 30

**Med-A Discussion**

- Too Basic: 3%
- Just Right: 97%
- Too Advanced: 0%
Presentation was well organised
Subject was relevant to my role
The subject material was well presented
Participants’ questions were answered satisfactorily
Overall how would you rate the presentation?

- Strongly Disagree
- Disagree
- Neither/Nor
- Agree
- Strongly Agree
- Not Applicable (N/A)

- Percentage

Med-A Discussion
Live Survey by Beate Lindner

Number attended: 41
Number of feedback forms completed: 20

Live Survey

- Too Basic: 0%
- Just Right: 75%
- Too Advanced: 25%
Presentation was well organised
Subject was relevant to my role
The subject material was well presented
Participants' questions were answered satisfactorily
Overall how would you rate the presentation

Percentage

Strongly Disagree  Disagree  Neither/Nor  Agree  Strongly Agree  Not Applicable (N/A)

Live Survey

Presentation was well organised: 50%
Subject was relevant to my role: 55%
The subject material was well presented: 40%
Participants' questions were answered satisfactorily: 40%
Overall how would you rate the presentation: 45%
History of CML Treatment by Eduardo Olavarria

Number attended: 43
Number of feedback forms completed: 23

History of CML Treatment

- Too Basic: 0%
- Just Right: 90%
- Too Advanced: 10%
History of CML Treatment

- Presentation was well organised: 47.8% Agree, 52.2% Strongly Agree
- Subject was relevant to my role: 8.7% Strongly Agree, 43.5% Agree
- The subject material was well presented: 34.8% Agree, 26.1% Strongly Agree
- Participants' questions were answered satisfactorily: 30.4% Agree, 13.0% Strongly Agree
- Overall how would you rate the presentation: 43.5% Agree, 56.5% Strongly Agree
Infections by Lidia Gil
Number attended: 39
Number of feedback forms completed: 25

Infections

- Too Basic: 0%
- Just Right: 95%
- Too Advanced: 5%
Presentation was well organised
Subject was relevant to my role
The subject material was well presented
Participants’ questions were answered satisfactorily
Overall how would you rate the presentation

Infections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Neither/Nor</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Not Applicable (N/A)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Not Applicable (N/A)
Relevance of haematological values, sensitivity levels by Isabel Duggins

Number attended: 25
Number of feedback forms completed: 19

Relevance of haematological values

- Too Basic: 0%
- Just Right: 37%
- Too Advanced: 63%
Presentation was well organised
Subject was relevant to my role
The subject material was well presented
Participants' questions were answered satisfactorily
Overall how would you rate the presentation

Relevance of haematological values

- Strongly Disagree
- Disagree
- Neither/Nor
- Agree
- Strongly Agree
- Not Applicable (N/A)
Allograft with the focus on Med-B by Jacob Passweg

Number attended: 19
Number of feedback forms completed: 16

Allograft with the focus on Med-B

- 93% Too Basic
- 7% Too Advanced
Allograft with the focus on Med-B

- Presentation was well organised: 88% Strongly Agree, 0% Disagree, 0% Neither/Nor, 0% Agree, 0% Strongly Disagree
- Subject was relevant to my role: 75% Strongly Agree, 0% Disagree, 0% Neither/Nor, 0% Agree, 0% Strongly Disagree
- The subject material was well presented: 75% Strongly Agree, 0% Disagree, 0% Neither/Nor, 0% Agree, 0% Strongly Disagree
- Participants' questions were answered satisfactorily: 63% Strongly Agree, 0% Disagree, 0% Neither/Nor, 0% Agree, 0% Strongly Disagree
- Overall how would you rate the presentation: 63% Strongly Agree, 0% Disagree, 0% Neither/Nor, 0% Agree, 0% Strongly Disagree
Session on Cell Therapy with the focus on Cell Therapy form by Chiara Secco

Number attended: 23
Number of feedback forms completed: 17

Cell Therapy

- Too Basic: 0%
- Just Right: 33%
- Too Advanced: 67%
Presentation was well organised
Subject was relevant to my role
The subject material was well presented
Participants' questions were answered satisfactorily
Overall how would you rate the presentation

Percentage

- Strongly Disagree
- Disagree
- Neither/Nor
- Agree
- Strongly Agree
- Not Applicable (N/A)

Cell Therapy
Benchmarking of Transplant Outcomes by Hein Putter

Number attended: 35
Number of feedback forms completed: 18

Benchmarking of Transplant Outcomes

- Too Basic: 0%
- Just Right: 78%
- Too Advanced: 22%
### Benchmarking of Transplant Outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Neither/Nor</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Not Applicable (N/A)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Presentation was well organised</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject was relevant to my role</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The subject material was well presented</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants' questions were answered satisfactorily</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall how would you rate the presentation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Percentage**

- **0.00**
- **10.00**
- **20.00**
- **30.00**
- **40.00**
- **50.00**
- **60.00**
- **70.00**
- **80.00**
- **90.00**
- **100.00**

**Legend:**
- Strongly Disagree
- Disagree
- Neither/Nor
- Agree
- Strongly Agree
- Not Applicable (N/A)
Comments from participants of Training sessions:

Practical session on MACRO
Everything is great! Keep going with your great job!
I cannot wait to start working in MACRO!
MACRO is a great tool! Looking forward to use it in my work! Thanks!
It was difficult because MACRO was not in every point developed so far!
Computers were too slow.

Using reports to clean data in ProMISE
We want more time for practise.
It would be great to have 1 PC per person next time but like that it is ok.
Some more time would be optimal for questions and discussion.
Session not the same as in information explained. Difficult to follow as presentation was confusing.
Thank you!

ProMISE Med-A Data Entry
You have a very good helpdesk!
Include more “special cases” and “tips and tricks”.

ProMISE HLA Data Entry
Thank you!
Education Sessions:

MACRO demo
The answers were too long.
More time for questions was needed as the answers were too long.
Link was promised but not supplied.
Session is too general for EBMT.
Good review given in timeframe without overloading the audience with information.

Gene Therapy 30/58
Demanding but very interesting field.
Too overloaded and too medical.
Good presentation on a very important topic, found lots of new information delivered too fast for my brain.
**Infections**
The speaker was too fast, otherwise good session.
Introduction of new forms at the end looks like a “threat”. Med-Bx10.
Please provide pens/pencils and ask all EBMT registry staff to fill evaluation forms – role model effect.
Please repeat next year!
Excellent and important. Repeat next year!
Speaker was talking to fast and went through the slides too fast.

**RELEVANCE OF HEAMATOLOGICAL VALUES, SENSITIVITY LEVELS**
Not only data but with combination with patient’s feelings – good.
Very clear – would be great for patient too.
Speaker really didactic.

**Allograft (Med-B)**
I knew much of the content but it was well presented.
My favourite presentation out of all DM sessions.
Cell Therapy
The text was too small.
Cell Therapy Educational Session would be great next year!
Was not able to read the slides as the text was too small.

Benchmarking of transplant outcomes
Inside a mistake with 1-5 grade for Allo Med.
Very clear presentation and very interesting!
The presentation started too early.
We would like to express our sincere thanks and appreciation to all of our presenters, chairs and helpers and to everyone who attended the Meeting.

If you have any suggestions for the Data Management Programme next year in Frankfurt, please email them to khrystyna.valkiv@ebmt.org. The slide presentations & feedback report will be available on our website shortly. We look forward to seeing as many Data Managers as possible in Frankfurt in 2019!