

Document Type | Policy

Index Number | EBMT 68

Version Number | 3.0

Title | EBMT Authorship Policy

Author | Marco Bressers

Authorised By | Mirjam Steinbuch

Authorised On | 22-Feb-2024

Release Date: 04-Mar-2024

Introduction and Scope

Publications in peer-reviewed journals represent one of the most important indicators of the scientific contribution of the European Society of Blood and Marrow Transplantation (EBMT) to the field of stem cell transplantation and cellular therapies. Authorship on scientific manuscripts is therefore a key means of acknowledging the contribution of EBMT members to prospective clinical trials and retrospective studies

PUBLICATION GUIDELINES FOR ALL STUDIES OF THE EUROPEAN SOCIETY FOR BLOOD and MARROW TRANSPLANTATION, THE GO-CART COALITION AND JOINT STUDIES WITH THE WBMT

VERSION 3.0

Author: Dr Donal McLornan and Fabio Ciceri

Publications in peer-reviewed journals represent one of the most important indicators of the scientific contribution of the European Society of Blood and Marrow Transplantation (EBMT) and the GoCART coalition to the field of stem cell transplantation and cellular therapies. Authorship of scientific manuscripts is therefore a key means of acknowledging the contribution of EBMT members and collaborators to prospective clinical trials and retrospective studies across these areas.



Contents

l-	Background and General Guidelines on Authorship	3
	Institutional Review Board approval	
III-	Author obligations	5
IV-	Writing Committee	5
V-	Statisticians, Data Managers and Study Coordinators	6
VI-	Representatives of participating EBMT centres	7
VII-	EBMT attribution	7
VIII-	Order of Authors	8
IX-	Authorship Disputes	g
X-	Consensus and Guideline Papers and Reviews	10
XI-	Go-CART Coalition Guidance	10
XII-	PASS Study Guidance	10
XIII-	- EBMT 'Mega-file' Study Guidance	11
XIV-	- Joint EBMT-WBMT studies	12



Background and General Guidelines on Authorship

These guidelines apply to all EBMT and GoCART publications including abstracts, manuscripts and other forms of scientific communication.

The recommendations of the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) on defining the role of authors and contributors

(https://www.icmje.org/recommendations/browse/roles-and-responsibilities/defining-the-role-of-authors-and-contributors.html) should be considered as the basis of these EBMT publication guidelines. In the event of any uncertainty or conflict, these recommendations provide the basis for their resolution where applicable.

The wider EBMT community, local and global partners and the public's trust in the academic research output from our society rely upon all those involved in conducting such research and publication adhering to the highest ethical standards in disseminating findings and conclusions in any form. Authorship implies responsibility and accountability for any published work. Eligibility for authorship does not guarantee authorship. Eligible authors must still make substantive contributions, including careful review and contributions to the draft manuscript.

The ICMJE recommends that authorship be based on the following 4 criteria:

- 1. Substantial contributions to the conception or design of the work; or the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data for the work; AND
- 2. Drafting the work or reviewing it critically for important intellectual content; AND
- 3. Final approval of the version to be published; AND
- Agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

All authors should have confidence concerning the transparent contributions of their co-authors.

Contributors who meet fewer than all 4 of the above criteria for authorship should not be listed as authors but should be acknowledged.

Authorship of any EBMT study should hence be based on the following criteria



EBMT Authorship Policy

Except in formally approved joint studies, all co-authors must:

- **I-1)** Be EBMT members or be working in formal co-operation with an elected member of the EBMT board or a WP member when there is oversight from an EBMT WP chair.
- **I-2)** Have made a substantial contribution to the conception or design of the study or the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data for the study
- **I-3)** Have had a substantial role in either writing or critically reviewing the meeting abstract or full manuscript when circulated
- I-4) Have approved the final version before meeting or journal submission
- **I-5)** Be accountable for all aspects of the work and must ensure that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved

Many journals have guidelines limiting the number of authors allowed on a publication. This may lead to confusion. In general, manuscripts should aim to incorporate as many authors as possible as long as they have met the above authorship eligibility criteria and as agreed by the original writing committee.

Pharmaceutical company representatives:

In the case of non-interventional prospective studies and prospective clinical trials, a representative of the pharmaceutical company sponsoring the study may be considered for authorship if their involvement meets the ICJME criteria.

II- Institutional Review Board approval

Journals increasingly require all submitted studies to have undergone independent Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval. It should be understood that the minutes of the scientific meeting of the relevant Working Party confirming the discussion and final approval of the proposal and its protocol could be used for this purpose. WP chairs ensure that all studies are performed under the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. If further review is required, this could be with an alternative WP or the Executive Committee for an independent review.



III- Author obligations

As the EBMT has a valid scientific and economic interest in the timely and successful publication of retrospective studies performed using EBMT data and resources, PIs / first authors are obliged to complete manuscripts promptly once the study has been completed and the statistical analysis is done.

If the PI fails to deliver a first draft manuscript within a reasonable time frame (in general, three months after having received the results of the statistical analysis). In that case, the WP chair may transfer the first authorship to another investigator or may complete the manuscript themselves to ensure that the study moves forward promptly.

IV- Writing Committee

All EBMT studies require the formation of a writing committee which is a decision taken within each working party. The composition of the writing committee must be decided upon before starting the study* and this is normally generated following discussion between the PI, WP chair, Working party secretary and subcommittee groups as appropriate. The frequency of meetings is dependent on the working patterns of each particular WP. Progress is monitored during the formal and informal operational meetings within each WP.

* Clearly the contributing centres cannot often be correctly assigned at this point and will be assigned based upon data contribution thereafter as the study progresses.

The WC should include the following:

- IV-1) Principal Investigator (s) (PI(s)) who originally proposed the study
- IV-2) Chair and Secretary/Co-secretaries of the Working Parties involved.
- IV-3) Study coordinator / Study statistician
- IV-5) Subcommittee chair(s) or vice-chair(s), as appropriate, (as senior authors)
- **IV-6)** Additional members of the WP, if they have made significant contributions that qualify for authorship and meet the ICMJE criteria as above



EBMT Authorship Policy

IV-7) Representatives of centres that contribute the largest number of patients to the study based on the pre-study feasibility check will be invited to join the Writing Committee in addition to, where possible, the addition of two smaller centres who have contributed to the study data acquisition with timely and accurate data. These centres are frequently identified as the study progresses and data is obtained hence will be invited to the WC at a later point.

IV-8) Representatives from countries or study groups that wish to collaborate in a formal Joint Study, and who would lead local involvement ("National Coordinator")

For joint studies with partner organizations (for instance, CIBMTR), authorship should fairly reflect the individual contributions of the participating organizations and should be discussed and agreed on beforehand. This should be documented and recorded by the data management team.

V- Statisticians, Data Managers and Study Coordinators

The role of the statistician to meet inclusion as a co-author should be for those statisticians who perform the primary and subsequent revision analyses and must be decided upon at the time of the study proposal and kept under review as the study progresses. In principle, and unless otherwise specified, the statistician does not, by definition, need to be the second, third or penultimate author of the manuscript.

The role of data managers and/or study coordinators as co-authors should be decided upon at the time of study proposal, as the study progresses and on completion of the study and will depend on the amount of work involved.

If either the statistician or data manager/ study coordinator changes before the study conclusion then a discussion must be had by the WP chair/s and writing committee to review the relative contribution and if one/ both still meet authorship criteria as per section I. If such a situation arises, this discussion must be documented and sent to the relative study unit. However, if the amount of work is not considered substantial to meet authorship criteria, their involvement must be formally acknowledged in the relevant section of the manuscript.

When they are co-authors, their names will be included in the middle of the authorship list.



VI- Representatives of participating EBMT centres

Specific considerations:

VI-1) Representation should be as wide as possible, always taking into consideration specific journal author guidelines and the number of patients included in the analysis

VI-2) The authorship position of centre representatives will be based on the number of patients included in the study. It is recognized that at the time of the writing committee proposal, this detail may not be known.

VI-3) Two places will be allocated to participating centres that, despite smaller patient numbers, work closely with the EBMT office to provide timely, accurate data (this should be decided upon by the WP chair and the study coordinator)

VI-4) The names of centre representatives who are not included as co-authors due to low numbers of patients will be listed in the Appendix

All investigators in prospective studies as well as all contributors to retrospective studies should be listed in the appendix in an order that reflects the number of patients included in the study. If there are several centres with the same number of patients appearing in the Appendix, they will be listed in alphabetical order.

VII- EBMT attribution

Manuscripts published on behalf of the EBMT must include the following words in the title:

On behalf of the EBMT or On behalf of the xx Working Party of the EBMT

If the title is too long or does not meet the manuscript title guidelines of a given journal, an alternative is to add this phrase after the name of the last author. Of note, some journals will ask for the full composition of the relevant working party as an addendum. This policy is to ensure recognition of the key scientific role of the EBMT in supporting these studies.



VIII- Order of Authors

The criteria used to determine the order in which authors are listed on the byline must be discussed following acceptance of the study on the portfolio, agreed upon and documented in advance and collectively by the writing committee. The order of authorship should be a joint decision and authors should be prepared to explain the order in which authors are listed. Each working party, or all involved working parties if a joint or transversal paper, should keep a document detailing this discussion, including the date and detail of those involved in the decision-making process to provide transparency. Operationally, this will be the agreed EBMT study proposal template (Section 12a). The final version should be kept by the WP secretaries and also must be sent to the relevant Paris or Leiden study unit. This document should be referred to in the situation of any dispute over authorship order or authorship seniority. The writing committee lead(s)/ WP chair(s) should reconfirm who meets the criteria for being attributed as an author before submitting the manuscript for publication and periodically throughout the project lifespan as required.

First author:

PI of the manuscript. Two authors could share the first authorship, if appropriate. Such an arrangement should be discussed with the WP Chair and agreed upon before the study commences. This **must** be documented on the study proposal template. Requests to add a cofirst author after the study commences do not follow normal practice and are at the discretion of the WP chair/s who should discuss it with the entire writing committee

Last author:

In general, the current WP Chair has overall responsibility for the scientific output of the group under the umbrella of the EBMT. They should meet all criteria for authorship and have had a senior position in study development and/or completion within the writing committee. There is the possibility of joint senior authorship if appropriate. The position of the last author/s for all studies must be **discussed and agreed** upon before the project commences. This will be recorded on the study proposal template once the study has been accepted and the writing committee agreed. A written authorship agreement provides a useful tool to minimize subsequent authorship disputes.



EBMT Authorship Policy

If the study was started during the term of office of the prior WP chair, the final decision as to the last author will be based on how advanced the study was at the end of the term. If data collection had been completed and the study was undergoing statistical analysis or the manuscript was in draft, then the prior WP chair should remain as the last author. In this case, the current WP chair should be one of the co-authors. If, however, the majority of the work remains to be done, then the new WP chair should be the last author. Regardless, the final decision will be made jointly by the prior and current WP chairs.

If it is a joint study, for example with the CIBMTR, the decision as the last author position should be decided in advance between the two parties.

In certain specific situations, there may be a request that another author other than the WP chair be the last author of the study abstract/ manuscript. Discussion of authorship order should begin at the inception of a research project, as discussed above, and involve a purposeful, mutually respectful and thoughtful examination of the expected contributions of the individuals involved in the project. Such discussions should be transparent, honest, and conducted professionally. If such a request arises for the last authorship position, then a review and documentation of the reasoning and rationale should be made between the members of the writing committee. Such a request must have scientific reasoning and this must be timely. It would not be normal practice that a request to change the senior author is made after study conception and agreement of the writing committee, apart from a changeover in WP chair/ key contributors over study gestation as discussed above. 'Last minute' requests are not acceptable. All discussions should be documented.

IX- Authorship Disputes

If a dispute arises concerning the first author/s, last author position or author order byline and continues despite the above points of reference, in the first instance this should be discussed with the Chair and Co-Chair of the Scientific Council to provide senior oversight, advice and aid in resolution/ mediation. This should be a transparent and documented process. If this process fails or is in on going contest, or one of the scientific chairs/ co-chair is directly involved, it should be elevated to the Executive Committee for discussion/ resolution. Dated documentation should be kept and recorded in the relevant study unit at all stages.



X- Consensus and Guideline Papers and Reviews

Publication rules should be based on the same principles as apply to retrospective studies

Consensus Papers should follow standard methodological principles as provided by the Statistical Committee

Educational manuscripts and invited reviews: If a WP member has been invited to write one of these types of manuscripts as a representative of EBMT, then the manuscript should reference the scientific activity of the relevant Working Party and should have been reviewed by the WP Chair before journal submission.

XI- Go-CART Coalition Guidance

- i. The title should contain: "...On behalf of the GoCART Coalition". This sentence could be added at the end of the authorship list
- ii. Authorship rules should follow the international regulations (ICMJE) as detailed above and relevant EBMT authorship guidelines and should include the relevant stakeholders.
- iii. Of note, PI of centres not reporting consecutive CART cell data to the EBMT registry should not be PIs or co-PIs of any project related to the GoCART Coalition or CART-related work from the EBMT registry. This is to encourage timely reporting to the EBMT registry.
- iv. An audit of adherence to the guidance above should be performed by the relevant data management team yearly for all projects.

XII- PASS Study Guidance

PASS studies were developed as a request of the EU competent authorities (EMA) to the pharmaceutical companies to better understand long-term efficacy and/or safety issues related to the use of CART cell constructs in the real world. The EBMT registry received a positive opinion of EMA to be used for this purpose back in February 2019.



Analysis and publication of data of patients included in the PASS represents a significant effort of many different people within the structure of the EBMT and this needs to be recognised in the authorship list.

Authorship rules should follow the international regulations (ICMJE) as detailed above and relevant EBMT authorship guidelines and should include the relevant stakeholders.

These representatives should be included in the authorship list:

- Representatives of the relevant WPs (disease-oriented, transversal WPs, CTIWP) depending on the topic
- If the PASS study has been developed within two different WP chair terms, the inclusion of both chairs should be considered
- The WP chair should have the capacity to delegate the authorship position to another member of the WP if necessary/relevant
- Statistician
- Medical Officer
- PI of centres that have included a relevant number of patients
- Representatives of the pharmaceutical company

An audit of adherence to the guidance as above should be performed by the relevant data management team yearly for all projects.

XIII- EBMT 'Mega-file' Study Guidance

- i. The title should contain: "...an EBMT mega-file study". This sentence should be added at the end of the authorship list
- ii. Authorship rules should follow the international regulations (ICMJE) as detailed above and relevant EBMT authorship guidelines and should include all relevant stakeholders. This includes the EBMT president, secretary and treasurer as representatives of the executive committee responsible for the EBMT.
- iii. Of note, for all 'Mega-file' studies representatives of each WP that has contributed data to the study should be included in the authorship list.



XIV- Joint EBMT-WBMT studies

- i. Authorship rules should follow the international regulations (ICMJE) as detailed above and relevant EBMT authorship guidelines and should include all relevant stakeholders. This includes the EBMT president, secretary and treasurer as representatives of the executive committee responsible for the EBMT.
- ii. Of note, for all WBMT studies representatives of each WP that has contributed data to the study should be included in the authorship list.
- iii. An audit of adherence to the guidance above should be performed by the relevant data management teams yearly for all projects.

Appendix: EBMT Authorship Policy

Links

Please note: links are only correct at time of printing

Controlled Document links:

• Document: EBMT 68: EBMT Publication Guidelines v2.0 (Under Review)

Document Revision History

Authorisation Approved on 22-Feb-2024 12:21 by Mirjam Steinbuch

The user Approved the authorisation request.

Authorised on 22-Feb-2024 12:21 by Mirjam Steinbuch

Authorised version 3.0 - . The following users will be notified when a review is due for this document: Document was scheduled to be released on 2024-03-04 The document was originally due for review on 04-Oct-2023

Authorisation requested on 19-Feb-2024 13:32 by Clara Frago

Authorisation request sent to Mirjam Steinbuch by Clara Frago on 19-Feb-2024 13:32.

Set Pending Authorisation on 19-Feb-2024 13:32 by Clara Frago

Document was set as Pending Authorisation and authorisation requests were sent to: Mirjam Steinbuch

Change Request Approved on 19-Feb-2024 13:31 by Clara Frago

Clara Frago approved change request: ""

Change Requested on 19-Feb-2024 09:28 by Marco Bressers

Marco Bressers Requested Change: 'Please replace by the authorship policy as approved last month by the board/ scientific council'

Change Requested on 19-Feb-2024 09:28 by Marco Bressers

Marco Bressers requested the following changes: "

Please replace by the authorship policy as approved last month by the board/ scientific council

Draft Created on 16-Feb-2024 09:37 by Clara Frago

Reason: due review

Round 1 of reviews started on 04-Sep-2023 04:30 by Account Administrator (iPassport Support)

Review Feedback tasks were assigned to the following users: Marco Bressers

This review is to be completed by 04-Oct-2023

Compulsory Review Completed on 04-Oct-2021 12:43 by Marco Bressers

done This document was originally due for review on 29-Sep-2023.

Authorised on 29-Sep-2021 14:02 by Andreu Gusi Puig (Inactive)

Authorised version 2 - . The following users will be notified when a review is due for this document: Document was scheduled to be released on 2021-09-29

Authorisation requested on 29-Sep-2021 13:41 by Iris Bargallo

Authorisation request sent to Andreu Gusi Puig by Iris Bargallo on 29-Sep-2021 13:41.

Reverted to Draft on 29-Sep-2021 13:37 by Iris Bargallo

Document was reverted to draft. Reason to revert: "Need to change the name of the document"

Authorisation Request Deleted on 29-Sep-2021 13:37 by Iris Bargallo

Authorisation request for Andreu Gusi Puig was deleted by Iris Bargallo.

Appendix: EBMT Authorship Policy

Authorisation requested on 28-Sep-2021 15:55 by Maria Orea (Inactive)

Authorisation request sent to Andreu Gusi Puig by Maria Orea on 28-Sep-2021 15:55.

Creation on 28-Sep-2021 14:30 by Maria Orea (Inactive)

New Document created

Authorisation

This document was securely signed and authorised by:

Mirjam Steinbuch: 22-Feb-2024 12:21